

Comment on 'A new method to calculate the spin-glass order parameter of the two-dimensional $\pm J$ Ising model'

Takayuki SHIRAKURA, Fumitaka MATSUBARA¹, and Michinori SHIOMI¹

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Iwate University, Morioka 020-8550, Japan

¹*Department of Applied Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan*

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

Contrary to the suggestion of Kitatani and Sinada (2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33** 3545-3553), the scaling analysis of the order parameter distributions suggests the existence of a finite-temperature spin-glass phase transition $T_c \neq 0$, even if the corrections to scaling are taken into account.

75.50.Lk, 75.40.Mg, 75.10.Hk

Kitatani and Sinada [1] suggested that, in the $\pm J$ Ising model in two dimensions, if one examines the scaling analyses considering the corrections to scaling, every temperature for $0 \leq T \leq 0.3J$ might be able to become the critical temperature. The suggestion is incompatible with the recent results of Shirakura et al. [2–4], which suggest the critical temperature $T_c \sim 0.23J$. However, Kitatani and Sinada performed only the scaling analyses of the Binder parameter g_L and the spin-glass susceptibility χ_{SG} . In this comment, we perform the scaling analysis of the order parameter distribution $P_L(q)$, using the recent data of the authors [5].

We can easily show that the following scaling form with the corrections to scaling

$$P_L(q) = \frac{L^{\eta/2}}{(1 + c/L^\omega)^2} \overline{P}\left(\frac{qL^{\eta/2}}{1 + c/L^\omega}\right) \quad at \quad T = T_c \quad (1)$$

leads to the scaling forms of g_L and χ_{SG} given by Kitatani and Sinada [1] with $c = -0.3$ and $\omega = 0.5$ (see the eqs.(16) and (17) in Ref. [1]), where $\overline{P}(x)$ is some scaling function. There could be different forms which lead to the same scaling forms. For example,

$$P_L(q) = L^{\eta/2}(1 + d/L^\omega) \overline{P}(qL^{\eta/2}(1 + d/L^\omega)^{1/2}) \quad at \quad T = T_c \quad (2)$$

with $d = 0.6$, also leads to the same scaling forms within the first order of $1/L^\omega$.

Here we examine the possibility of $T_c = 0$. In figures 1 and 2, we show the scaling plots corresponding to the eqs. (1) and (2), using the data at the lowest temperature $T = 0.1J$ [6] in Ref. [5]. The difference between these two plots is rather small. Therefore we think that any scaling form of $P_L(q)$ which reproduces the eqs.(16) and (17) in Ref. [1] would not change the quality of the plots. These figures should be compared with the scaling plot in figure 10 in Ref. [5], where $T_c = 0.23J$ is assumed. It is evident that the latter is better than the formers.

The above result reveals that, even if one considers the corrections to scaling, the scaling analyses favour the assumption of $T_c \sim 0.23J$, not $T_c = 0$ in the two-dimensional $\pm J$ Ising model.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kitatani H and Sinada A 2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33** 3545.
- [2] Shirakura T and Matsubara F 1996 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65** 3138.
- [3] Shirakura T and Matsubara F 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** 2887.
- [4] Matsubara F, Shirakura T and Shiomi M 1998 Phys. Rev. B **58** R11821.
- [5] Shiomi M, Matsubara F and Shirakura T 2000 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69** 2798.
- [6] We think that $P_L(q)$ for $T \rightarrow 0$ may be well approximated by that at $T = 0.1J$, because at low temperatures it changes a very little as the temperature is decreased (see Fig. 5 in Ref. [5]). In particular, their peak heights for the smaller lattices ($L = 12, 16$) are almost unchanged for $T \leq 0.2J$. A slight increase of it is seen for larger lattices. If this tendency lasts down to $T = 0$, it will enhance the discrepancy of the scaling plot of Figs. 1 and 2.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The scaling plot of the order parameter distributions $P_L(q)$, assuming the form eq. (1) with the corrections to scaling and using the data at the lowest temperature $T = 0.1J$ in Ref. [5].

FIG. 2. The scaling plot of the order parameter distributions $P_L(q)$, assuming the form eq. (2) with the corrections to scaling and using the data at the lowest temperature $T = 0.1J$ in Ref. [5].



